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ABSTRACT
A simple, economical, precise and accurate method for simultaneous determination of Drotaverine (DRT) and Aceclofenac (ACE) in combined dosage form has been developed. The first method is Ratio Derivative spectroscopy method (Method A) in which ratio derivative amplitudes were measured at selected wavelengths. Second method is Area under Curve Spectrophotometry (Method B). The amplitudes at 330.13 nm and 228.06 nm in the Ratio derivative spectra were selected to determine DRT and ACE, respectively and wavelength ranges 299-305 nm and 270-277 nm were selected to determine DRT and ACE, respectively by AUC method in methanol-distilled water. Beer’s law is obeyed in the concentration ranges of 4-20 µg mL\(^{-1}\) and 5-25 µg mL\(^{-1}\) for DRT and ACE, respectively in method A while 4-24 µg mL\(^{-1}\) and 5-30 µg mL\(^{-1}\) by DRT and ACE, respectively in method B. The % assay for commercial formulation was found to be in the range 99.48 – 100.01 % for DRT and 99.52 – 99.89 % for ACE by the proposed methods. Recovery was found in the range 99.18-100.10 for DRT and 99.52 – 101.09% for ACE by ratio derivative spectroscopic method and 99.82 – 101.12% for DRT and 99.73-101.09% for ACE by AUC method for both the Formulations. The results of analysis have been validated statistically and recovery studies confirmed the accuracy and of the proposed methods which were carried out by following ICH guidelines.
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INTRODUCTION
Drotaverine hydrochloride [DRT], 1-[(3, 4-diethoxy phenyl) methylene]-6, 7-diethoxy-1, 2, 3, 4-tetra hydro isoquinolene is an analogue of papaverine\(^4\). It acts as an antispasmodic agent by inhibiting phosphodiesterase IV enzyme, specific for smooth muscle spasm and pain, used to reduce excessive labor pain\(^5\). Drotaverine hydrochloride is official in Polish Pharmacopoeia\(^3\). A few UV spectrophotometric and HPLC\(^7-9\) methods have been reported individually or in combination with other drugs for estimation of Drotaverine hydrochloride. Aceclofenac [ACE] is chemically designated as 2-(2, 6-Dichloroanilino) phenyl acetoxy acetic acid. It is a NSAID, used in the management of osteoarthritis, rheumatoid arthritis, and ankylosing spondylitis\(^1-5\). Aceclofenac is official in Indian Pharmacopoeia\(^11\). Various UV and HPLC methods for aceclofenac have been reported for its estimation individually or in combination with other drugs\(^12-17\).

As per our knowledge Absorbance ratio method, Simultaneous equation method, and First order derivative method are available in the literature for the simultaneous estimation of DRT and ACE in combined dosage form\(^18\). Present manuscript describes Ratio Derivative and AUC spectroscopic methods for the determination of DRT and ACE in tablet dosage form. The proposed methods were validated as per the International Conference on Harmonization (ICH) guidelines\(^19\).

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Instrumentation
An UV-Visible double beam spectrophotometer (Varian Cary 100) with 10 mm matched quartz cells was used. Electronic balance (Model Shimadzu AUW-220D) was used for weighing.

Reagents and chemicals
Tablets used for analysis were ESNIL manufactured by Dewcare, (Ahmadabad, India) were used for analysis containing DRT 80 mg and ACE 100 mg per tablet. Pure drug sample of DRT (98.5%) and ACE (99.8%) were obtained as a gift sample from Alkem Laboratories (Mumbai, India) and Curex pharmaceuticals (Jalgaon, India). Spectroscopic grade Methanol was used for preparation of stock solution. Double distilled water prepared at lab scale was used throughout the study.

Preparation of Standard Stock Solutions and calibration Curve
Standard stock solution of pure drug containing 1000 µg mL\(^{-1}\) of DRT and 1000 µg mL\(^{-1}\) of ACE were prepared separately in the methanol. The working standard solutions of DRT (4-20µg mL\(^{-1}\)), ACE (5-25µg mL\(^{-1}\)) for method A and of DRT (4-24µg mL\(^{-1}\)), ACE (5-30µg mL\(^{-1}\)) for method B were prepared by dilution of the respective stock solution with Distilled water. Derivative amplitudes of ratio spectra and concentrations were used to construct calibration curves for method A. For method B integrated area under curve was used to construct two simultaneous
equations and these equations were solved and used to calculate amount of analyte in sample solutions.

**Preparation of Sample Stock Solution and Formulation analysis**

Twenty tablets were weighed accurately and a quantity of tablet powder equivalent to 80 mg of DRT (100 mg of ACE) was weighed and dissolved in the 80 mL of methanol with the aid of ultrasonication for 5 min and solution was filtered through Whatman paper No. 41 into a 100 mL volumetric flask. Filter paper was washed with same solvent, adding washings to the volumetric flask and volume was made up to the mark with the solvent. The solution was suitably diluted further with distilled water to get required final concentration of DRT 12 (µg mL⁻¹) and ACE (15 µg mL⁻¹).

**Method A: Ratio Derivative Spectroscopy**

The method involves dividing the spectrum of mixture by the standardized spectra of each of the analyte and deriving the ratio to obtain spectrum that is dependent of concentration of analyte used as a divisor. Using appropriate dilutions of standard stock solution, the two solutions were scanned separately. The ratio spectra of different DRT standards at increasing concentrations were obtained by dividing each with the stored spectrum of the standard solution of ACE (15 µg mL⁻¹) and the ratio derivative of these spectra traced, illustrated in Fig 1. Wavelength 330.13 nm was selected for the quantification of DRT in ACE + DRT mixture. The ratio and ratio derivative spectra of the solutions of ACE at different concentrations were obtained by dividing each with the stored standard spectrum of the DRT (12 µg mL⁻¹) (Fig. 2). Wavelength 228.06 nm was selected for the quantification of ACE in ACE + DRT mixture. Measured analytical signals at these wavelengths were proportional to the concentrations of the drugs. Calibration curves were prepared from the measured signals at the selected wavelength and concentration of the standard solutions. The amount of ACE (C_{ACE}) and DRT (C_{DRT}) in tablet was calculated by using equations 1 and 2, respectively.

\[
C_{ACE} = \frac{\text{Derivative amplitude at 228.06} - (0.103)}{(0.4994)} \ldots (1)
\]

\[
C_{DRT} = \frac{\text{Derivative amplitude at 330.13} - (0.2487)}{(0.4937)} \ldots (2)
\]

**Figure 1:** First Derivative of Ratio Spectra of DRT when 15µg mL⁻¹ of ACE is used as divisor

**Figure 2:** First Derivative of Ratio Spectra of ACE when 12µg mL⁻¹ of DRT is used as divisor

**Figure 3:** Overlay spectrum of DRT and ACE in Methanol-Distilled water. DRT (4-24µg mL⁻¹) and ACE (5-30 µg mL⁻¹) for AUC method
Table 1: Optical characteristics of the proposed methods

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>DROTAVERINE Method A</th>
<th>DROTAVERINE Method B</th>
<th>ACECLOFENAC Method A</th>
<th>ACECLOFENAC Method B</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>λ (nm)</td>
<td>330,13</td>
<td>299-305</td>
<td>228.06</td>
<td>270-277</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Beer’s law limit (µg mL$^{-1}$)</td>
<td>4-20</td>
<td>4-24</td>
<td>5-25</td>
<td>5-30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Regression Equation $y = mx + c$</td>
<td>Slope (m)</td>
<td>0.4937</td>
<td>0.1142</td>
<td>0.4994</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Correlation coefficient</td>
<td>Intercept (c)</td>
<td>0.2487</td>
<td>0.0253</td>
<td>0.103</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Precision (%RSD.)</td>
<td>Repeatability (n=5)</td>
<td>0.9996</td>
<td>0.9993</td>
<td>0.999</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Intra-day (3×3 times)</td>
<td>0.54</td>
<td>0.66</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Inter-day (3×5 days)</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>0.72</td>
<td>1.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Analyst</td>
<td>0.37</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>1.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.51</td>
<td>0.73</td>
<td>1.06</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formulation Analysis (% Assay, %RSD) n=6</td>
<td>99.48, 0.81</td>
<td>100.01, 1.01</td>
<td>99.89, 1.06</td>
<td>99.52, 1.04</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 2: Result of Recovery studies.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Formulation used</th>
<th>Recovery Level</th>
<th>Recovery of</th>
<th>% Mean Recovery, % RSD by using</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Method A</td>
<td>Method B</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tablet</td>
<td>50%</td>
<td>Drotaverine</td>
<td>99.30, 0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aceclofenac</td>
<td>99.52, 0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>100%</td>
<td>Drotaverine</td>
<td>99.18, 0.42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aceclofenac</td>
<td>101.09, 1.04</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>150%</td>
<td>Drotaverine</td>
<td>100.10, 0.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Aceclofenac</td>
<td>99.87, 0.73</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Method B: Area under Curve

For the simultaneous determination using the area under curve (AUC) method, suitable dilutions of the standard stock solutions (1000 µg/ml) of DRT and ACE were prepared separately in Distilled water. The solutions of drugs were scanned in the range of 200-420 nm (Fig.3). For Area Under Curve method sampling wavelength ranges selected for estimation of DRT and ACE were 299-305 nm (λ1-λ2) and 270-277 nm (λ3-λ4) and area were integrated between these selected wavelength ranges for both drugs, which showed linear response with increasing concentration hence the same wavelength range was used for preparation of calibration curve was plotted and estimation of tablet formulation. By using integrated areas two simultaneous equations (eq.3 & 4) were constructed and solved to determine concentrations of analytes. Concentration of analytes in mixed standard and the sample solution were calculated using equation (5) and (6).

$$A_1 = 1186.75 C_{DRT} + 435.8 C_{ACE}$$ (3) 299-305 nm
$$A_2 = 618 C_{DRT} + 1654.6 C_{ACE}$$ (4) 270-277 nm
$$C_{DRT} = A_2 - a_{x1} \times C \frac{C_{DRT} \times a_{x2}}{a_{x2}}$$ (5)$$C_{ACE} = A_2 - a_{x1} \times C \frac{C_{ACE} \times a_{x2}}{a_{x2}}$$ (6)

Where,

$a_{x1}$ (1186.75) and $a_{x2}$ (618) are absorptivities of DRT at (λ1-λ2) and (λ3-λ4) respectively.

Recovery studies

The accuracy of the proposed methods were checked by recovery study, by addition of standard drug solution to preanalysed sample solution at three different concentration levels (50 %, 100 % and 150 %) within the range of linearity for both the drugs (Table 2). The basic concentration level of sample solution selected for spiking of the drugs standard solution was 6 µg mL$^{-1}$ of DRT and 7.5 µg mL$^{-1}$ of ACE.
RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The proposed methods for estimation of DRT and ACE in combined dosage form were found to be accurate, simple, precise and rapid. Area under curve method involves formation and solving of simultaneous equation. Once the equations are formed, then only measurement of the area of sample solution at two wavelength ranges and simple calculations are required. Practically no interference from tablet excipients was observed in these methods. Both the methods are accurate, simple, rapid, precise, reliable, sensitive, reproducible and economical as per ICH guidelines. The values of % RSD and correlation of coefficient for Ratio Derivative spectra were found to be in limit (% RSD 0.37-0.73 and 0.60-1.15) and correlation coefficient was 0.999 for DRT and ACE. The result of recovery studies for Tablet indicates that there is no interference due to excipients present in the formulation. It can be easily and conveniently adopted for routine quality control analysis.

CONCLUSION

The proposed methods are simple, precise, accurate, economical and rapid for the determination of DRT and ACE in combined tablet dosage forms. Analysis of authentic samples containing DRT and ACE showed no interference from the common additives and excipients. Hence, recommended procedure is well suited for the assay and evaluation of drugs in commercial tablets. It can be easily and conveniently adopted for routine quality control analysis.
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